Assessing forage quality for high producing dairy cows: Fiber (NDF) and fiber digestibility (TTNDFD)

Dr. Dave Combs

Professor Emeritus-University of Wisconsin-Madison

Cows Agree Consulting, LLC





1. Who I am

Dr. Dave Combs Professor Emeritus Department of Dairy Science UW-Madison dkcombs@wisc.edu

Forage Utilization and Fiber Digestibility

Predicting in vivo fiber digestibility with NIRS (TTNDFD)

Forage genetic selection based on rate and extent of NDF digestibility (USDA and Hatch projects)

What causes performance swings in dairy diets?

Diet energy is impacted largely by carbohydrates

- ✓ Fiber (NDF)
- Starch
- Carbohydrate content and



content and digestibility affect milk yield

- Fiber is always lower in digestible energy than starch (grain)
- ✓ 2-3 unit drop in Fiber or Starch digestibility will decrease milk by 0.5 liters

Recommended carbohydrates feeding guidelines for high producing dairy cows

Item

NDF, % of DM TTNDFD, % of NDF

25	-33
> 4	12%

Starch, % of DM21-28Fecal Starch Digestibility, % of starch>95%7h in situ starch D>75peNDF15-19% fNDF*

*minimum forage NDF(fNDF) depends on dietary NDF and dietary starch content



Roles of fiber (NDF) in ruminant diets

- Rumination and rumen environment (peNDF)
- ✓ Milk fat (VFA, Rumen pH)
- ✓ Intake (uNDF)
- ✓ Source of Digestible Energy (TTNDFD)





Undigested fiber



Fiber undergoing microbial digestion, (Akin, 1986)

Lactating cow diets normally formulated to contain between 25 and 33% of DM as NDF

- Inadequate NDF may contribute to rumen acidosis and low milk fat
- Too much NDF will restrict feed intake and milk production



Common Forage Fiber Tests and Their Utility

Test	Rumen Fill/intake	TDN Estimation	Diet Formulation	Quality Index
NDF, NDF _{OM} Total fiber	Х	Х	Х	Х
NDFD ₃₀ undigested fiber after 30 h				X/?
TTNDFD Predicted total tract fiber digestion	Х	Х	Х	Х
uNDF ₂₄₀ Predicted indigestible NDF	Х	?		?
RFQ Quality index: NDF and NDF digestibility				Х
Milk/ton Quality index: NDF and NDF digestibility		Х		X

Nutrient contributions to DE in a corn silage-based diet for high producing dairy cows. NASEM 2021

Diet Component	Intake, kg/d	Intake adjusted digestibility, %	DE, Mcal/d	Percent of total dietary DE, %
NDF	7.5	50.8	16.1	23%**
Starch	6.9	90.1	25.9	37%
FA	0.6	73.0	4.4	6%
rOM	3.1	96.0	8.6	12%
СР	4.0	92.8	16.5	23%
OM	22.0	77.6	70.7	100%

**20-25% of DE for milk production is from digestible NDF!



Fiber digestibility varies in forages

Range in TTNDFD Forage % of NDF 25-70 Alfalfa hay and silage 25-80 Corn silage 15-80 Grass hay and silage Two units increase in diet TTNDFD can potentially increase milk yield by 0.5 liter



Fiber quality – new school NDFD; **TTNDFD**



Assessing fiber digestion





Poor digestion < 40% Excellent digestion > 50% A 2-3 unit change in fiber digestibility corresponds to $\frac{1}{2}$ liter change in milk yield.

First look at a forage: How to assess forage quality from a forage test

- **Reading Forage Analyses**
 - ✓ Dave's Quick List:
 - 1. NDF and Starch
 - 2. Ash Content
 - ✓ Evaluate Digestibility
 - 3. TTNDFD
 - 4. StarchD



Rock River Laboratory, Inc. P.O. Box 169 Watertown, WI 53094-0169 920.261.0446	Feed Analysis Report	Representative:	Certified Chemistry More by (NFTA)
Sample # 1 BK 6 Corn Sil	age		
Lab # 897490 Sampled on 12/	16/2013 Received on 12/19/201	3	
Farm +			
Molsture 61.60% Dry Matter	38.40%		60 Day RRL
Description (%DM unless specified)	Dry Matter Basis		Average
aNDF (w/NaSO3)	39.97%		43.76%
Lo	w NDF corn silage	e (good)	
Traditional 48HR	62.30%		62.62%
Traditional 30HR	42.61%		51.08%
Standardized 24HR	12.88%		17.98%
Standardized 30HR	19.31%		27.54%
Standardized 48HR	37.40%		45.57%
NDFD 120HR	68.23%		
Calculations			10.01
TINDED Dimensio NDE Kd (using 04.00.40.400.1	37.10		42.34
Dynamic NDF Kd (using 24,30,48,1201	(ii) <u>3.76%</u> /iii		

BUT- Lower than average fiber digestibility (bad)

	Rock River Laboratory, Inc. P.O. Box 169 Watertown, WI 53094-0169 920.261.0446	Feed Analysis Rep	ort		Certified CH Certified CH Chemistry NFTA
Sample # 1	BK 5 Haylage				
_ab #	Sampled on 1/8/2014	Received on	1/9/2014		
arm					
Moisture 8	54.44% Dry Matter 45.5	6%			60 Day RRL
Description ((%DM unless specified)	Dry Matter Basis			Average
Crude Protein	1	22.55%			21.80%
aNDF (w/NaS	SO3)	42.60%			43.09%
Calculations	1				
TTNDFD		51.37			44.70
Relative Fora	ge Quality	141			
Dynamic NDF	Kd (using 24,30,48,120 hr)	11.53%/hr			
Relative feed	value	136			

Which is the better Haylage?

Sample # 1 Haylage		
Lab # Sampled on 12/26/20	013 Received on 12/27/2013	
Farm		
Moisture 69.47% Dry Matter 30.	53%	60 Day RRL
Description (%DM unless specified)	Dry Matter Basis	Average
Crude Protein	20.87%	21.86%
aNDF (w/NaSO3)	42.22%	43.30%
Calculations		
TTNDFD	44.14	44.26
Relative Forage Quality	159	
Dynamic NDF Kd (using 24,30,48,120 hr)	7.72%/hr	
Relative feed value	138	

Which forage tests are most relevant to predicting forage quality

Total fiber: aNDFom **NDF digestibility:** a term that integrates uNDF, kd and kp TTNDFD is best, NDFD₄₈ is better than NDFD₃₀ or NDFD₂₄



THANK YOU!

Cows Agree Consulting, LLC

https://www.cowsagree.com/

Dairy and Agricultural Business Consulting Services

UNDERSTAND YOUR PRODUCT & TECHNOLOGY IMPACT

